



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,138	05/02/2002	Miyawaki Hiroshi	967 022	8211
20874	7590	10/16/2009		
MARIAMA MULDOON BLASIAK & SULLIVAN LLP			EXAMINER	
250 SOUTH CLINTON STREET			CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG	
SUITE 300				
SYRACUSE, NY 13202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10031138	5/2/02	HIROSHI, MIYAWAKI	967 022

MARJAMA MULDOON BLASIAK & SULLIVAN LLP  
250 SOUTH CLINTON STREET  
SUITE 300  
SYRACUSE, NY 13202

EXAMINER

MARY CHEUNG

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3694

20091013

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

The comments for allowance filed on 10/1/09 has been considered. The applicant stated the language of the statement for the Reasons for Allowance by the examiner is not identical to the language of the claim. For the independent claims 1-2 and 24-25, the claims contain the same concept for allowance although the claim language is not identical. The examiner wrote the reason for allowance based on the concept of the claims, not direct citations from the claim language; therefore, quotation marks are not used by the examiner. For the independent claim 23, it contains different concept for allowance; thus a separate reason for allowance was stated. In conclusion, it is believed all independent claims are properly stated for reasons for allowance.

/Mary Cheung/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694